INDEX TO SEARCH AND SEIZURE LAW REPORT

Volume 23 (January 1996–December 1996)

File this Index as pages 89-92 of the Volume 23–24 binder.

[References are to page numbers]

– A –

Appellate review of warrantless search determinations: the triumph of the "de novo" standard
Appellate review of search warrant validity determinations, 70–71
Conclusion, 72
Introduction, 65
Ornelas and mixed questions of law and fact: de novo exceptions, 70
Ornelas v. U.S.
Facts, 65–66
Procedural posture, 66–67
Supreme Court's ruling
Questions of law and questions of fact, 67–68
Reasonable suspicion" and "probable cause," 68
Precedential consistency, 71–72
Scalia dissents, 72
Significance of review standards
Mixed questions of law and fact, 69–70
Questions of fact, 69
Questions of law, 69
Why a de novo standard?
Exposition of the law, 71
Unification of precedent, 71
Uniformity of application, 71

– B –

Biggs, U.S. v., Protective sweep of motel room of defendant arrested in parking lot upheld, 15–16
***Burkholder, State v.* (Ohio),** Exclusionary rule held inapplicable in parole revocation proceedings, 88
Burkoff, John M.
Author of article, 81–87
Burkoff, John M., and Corin R. Stone
Authors of article, 65–72
Burkoff, Nancy M.
Author of article, 73–79

– C –

Challenges to the magisterial issuance of search warrants
Conclusion, 87
Constitutional limits on who may issue warrants, 82
Failure of issuing magistrate to sign or seal warrant, 87
Issuing magistrates who are per se not neutral and detached, 82–83
Jurisdictional limits on issuing magistrates, 82
Magistrate held not to be impartial, 83–84
Magistrate held to be impartial, 84
Magistrates as "adjunct law enforcement officers," 84–85
Overview, 81
"Rubber stamp" magistrates, 85
Scope of "issuing magistrate" inclusion, 81–82
Significance of magistrate's prior refusal to issue warrant, 86
Significance of prior search of same premises, 86–87
Significance of prior warrant or indictment, 85–86
Specific claims of magistrate's partiality, 83
When may a warrant be issued?, 87
Who may issue a search warrant?, 81
Clancy, Thomas K.
Author of article, 33–40
Clipper chip: how key escrow threatens to undermine the Fourth Amendment
Conclusion, 7–8
Continuing government strategy, 7
Government controls of encryption technology, strategy of, 4–5
History of authorized wiretapping, 1–2
Introduction, 1
Legal implications of the Clipper chip, 5–7
Legislative response to new communications technologies, 4
Minimization limitation on Title III interceptions, 3–4
Shortcomings of key escrow, 5
Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act (Digital Telephony Act), *see* **Clipper chip: how key escrow threatens to undermine the Fourth Amendment**
Corr, Kevin
Author of article, 41–48
Cromer, Richard J.
Author of article, 57–64

– E –

Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986 (ECPA), *see* **Clipper chip: how key escrow threatens to undermine the Fourth Amendment**
Electronic surveillance, *see* **Clipper chip: how key escrow threatens to undermine the Fourth Amendment;** *see also* **IVHS, legal privacy, and the legacy of Dr. Faustus**

Emerging issues in state constitutional law: search and seizure decisions
Automobiles, 60–61
Conclusion, 64
Consensual searches, 59–60
Exclusionary rule
Good faith exception, 61
Inevitable discovery exception, 61–62
Introduction, 57–58
Miscellaneous
Automatic companion rule, 62–63
Automatic standing, 62
Plain touch, 63
Plain view, 63
Searches by public school officials, 63–64
Unlawfully seized evidence in civil tax proceedings, use of, 64
What constitutes a seizure?, 58–59
Extending the good faith exception
Application of the proposed extension of good faith, 39–40
Conclusion, 40
Exclusionary rule and good faith exception, 33–35
Overview, 33
Leon, U.S. v., distinguished, 35–36
Limitations on expansion of good faith, 38–39
Why good faith should be extended, 36–38

– F –

Fed. R. Crim. P. 41(e), *see* **Of diaries and data banks: use restrictions under the Fourth Amendment**
Forcible entry, *see* **Framers, indoor plumbing, and the Fourth Amendment: *Wilson v. Arkansas***
Framers, indoor plumbing, and the Fourth Amendment: *Wilson v. Arkansas*
Aftermath of decision, 77–79
Oral argument, 75–76
Overview, 73–74
Proceedings in the Arkansas courts, 74
Section 3109, 74–75
Supreme Court opinion, 77

– G –

Good faith exception to Fourth Amendment, *see* **Extending the good faith exception;** *see also* **Emerging issues in state constitutional law: search and seizure decisions**

– H –

Hidden racism of *Terry v. Ohio*
Ambivalent argument of *Terry v. Ohio*
Acceptance of NAACP's premises and rejection of its conclusion, 51–52
Invasiveness of stops and frisks and the violence of criminals, 51
Marginalization of racial concerns, 52
Misunderstandings of the legacy of *Terry v. Ohio*, 52–53
Contemporary implications of the critique, 54–56
Critique of *Terry*'s argument that the law is powerless against racism, 53–54
Introduction, 49–50
Overview of *Terry v. Ohio*, 50–51
***Hodson, State v.* (Utah)**, Police use of gun and neckhold to force defendant to spit out contents of mouth held Fourth Amendment violation, 16

– I –

Intelligent Vehicle Highway Systems (IVHS), *see* **IVHS, legal privacy, and the legacy of Dr. Faustus**
Issuance of search warrants, *see* **Challenges to the magisterial issuance of search warrants**
IVHS, legal privacy, and the legacy of Dr. Faustus
Electronic surveillance of automotive movement, 13–15
Fourth Amendment law and peripheral searches, 11–12
Introduction, 9–10
What the Fourth Amendment can do for IVHS, 10
What IVHS can do for the Fourth Amendment, 10–11
When a search is not a search after all, 13

– J –

***Johnson, State v.* (Washington),** Search of sleeping compartment in tractor–trailer cab held lawful search incident to arrest, 32

– K –

***Katz v. U.S.,* see Thermal imagery vs. the Fourth Amendment**
Key escrow encryption, *see* **Clipper chip: how key escrow threatens to undermine the Fourth Amendment**
Knock-and-announce, *see* **Framers, indoor plumbing, and the Fourth Amendment: *Wilson v. Arkansas***
Krent, Harold J.
Author of article, 17–24

– L –

***Leon, U.S. v.,* see Extending the good faith exception**

– M –

Magisterial issuance of search warrants, *see* **Challenges to the magisterial issuance of search warrants**

Marijuana, *see* **Thermal imagery vs. the Fourth Amendment**

Maryland v. Wilson, Mims rationale application to passengers in stopped vehicle; certiorari granted, 64

– N –

New York v. Belton, *see* ***White, Commonwealth v.*** **(Pennsylvania),** *see also* ***Johnson, State v.*** **(Washington)**

1986 Wiretap Act (Title III), *see* **Clipper chip: how key escrow threatens to undermine the Fourth Amendment;** *see also* **IVHS, legal privacy, and the legacy of Dr. Faustus**

– O –

Of diaries and data banks: use restrictions under the Fourth Amendment
- Conclusion, 24
- Evolution from property to privacy-based analysis, 18–19
- Government's duty to return items and information seized
  - Duty to return information seized, 20–21
  - Duty to return property (Fed. R. Crim. P. 41(e)), 19–20
- Overview, 17–18
- Restricting unreasonable subsequent uses
  - Categorical ban on previously undisclosed uses, 23–24
  - Legislative balancing, 22–23
  - Preventing unreasonable uses through case-by-case balancing, 22

Ornelas v. U.S.
- Supreme Court decides de novo standard of review applies to appellate consideration of magistral determinations of probable cause or reasonable suspicion in warrantless search cases, 56
- *See also* **Appellate review of warrantless search determinations: the triumph of the "de novo" standard**

– R –

Racism in search and seizure, *see* **Hidden racism of *Terry v. Ohio***

– S –

Schwartz, Adina
- Author of article, 49–56

Search and seizure decisions in the states, *see* **Emerging issues in state constitutional law: search and seizure decisions**

Search and seizure issues, *see* **Treading through the quagmire of search and seizure issues**

Search warrants, issuance of, *see* **Challenges to the magisterial issuance of search warrants**

State v. Robinette **(Ohio),** Traffic stop detainee must be told he or she is free to go before consensual interrogation, 8

Stone, Corin R., and John M. Burkoff
- Authors of article, 65–72

Suppression motions in warrantless search cases, *see* **Appellate review of warrantless search determinations: the triumph of the "de novo" standard**

– T –

Terry v. Ohio, see* Hidden racism of *Terry v. Ohio

Thermal imagery vs. the Fourth Amendment
- Circuit split on thermal imagery
  - Early consensus, 26
  - Tenth Circuit splits the circuits, 26–27
- Conclusion, 31
- Constitutional analysis of thermal imagers (*Katz v. U.S.*)
  - "Intrusiveness" of the alleged search, 28–29
  - "Place" of the alleged search, 28
  - "Reasonable" expectations of privacy, 27–28
  - "Subjective" expectations of privacy, 27
- Fourth Amendment principles, 25–26
- Inaptly-attempted analogies to more traditional law enforcement techniques
  - Discarded residential garbage, 29–30
  - Narcotics dog sniffs, 30–31
- Introduction, 25

Torkelson, Christopher E.
- Author of article, 1–8

Treading through the quagmire of search and seizure issues
- Consent issues generally, 44–45
- Frisks generally, 43–44
- Introduction, 41
- Investigative detention generally, 45
- Miscellaneous, 46
- Search incident generally, 45–46
- Search of persons (chart), 47
- Search of vehicles (chart), 48
- Summary, 46

Vehicle searches
Consent, 41
Inventory, 42–43
Search incident, 41–42
Vehicle exception, 42
Vehicular frisk, 41

– U –

Use of seized property or information, *see* **Of diaries and data banks: use restrictions under the Fourth Amendment**

– V –

Vega, U.S. v., Hour-long *Terry* stop made at gunpoint held constitutional, 31–32

– W –

Warrantless search determinations, *see* **Appellate review of warrantless search determinations: the triumph of the "de novo" standard**

Weisberg, Robert
Author of article, 9–15
***White, Commonwealth v.* (Pennsylvania),** *Belton* rule rejected, 24
Whren, U.S. v.
Pretext search case taken by Supreme Court, 15
Supreme Court eliminates possibility of demonstrating existence of unconstitutional pretext search where officer stops car with probable cause to believe traffic law violated, 56
Wilson v. Arkansas, *see* **Framers, indoor plumbing, and the Fourth Amendment: *Wilson v. Arkansas***
Wiretapping, *see* **Clipper chip: how key escrow threatens to undermine the Fourth Amendment**
Womack v. U.S., Handcuffing defendant held not to convert *Terry* stop into arrest, 79–80

– Z –

Zabel, Matthew L.
Author of article, 25–31